UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,331	03/20/2006	Frank Miller	10191/3699	9783
26646 KENYON & K	7590 06/18/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	GORMAN, DARREN W		
NEW YORK, N	N I 1000 4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,331	MILLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Darren W. Gorman	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	idenig of simplifying ti	16 133063 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 San attached Nation of Nan Co.	mpliant Amandmant (DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		npliant Amendment (-10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
' 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
10. 🔼 Other. Gee Continuation Office.			
	/Darren W Gorman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3752	

Continuation of 13. Other: The substantial amendments to claim 14 will require further search and consideration of the prior art, including the prior art already of record. With respect to the objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for not showing the feature(s) recited in claim 24, and with respect to the "NEW" drawing sheet filed June 9, 2009, the Examiner will approve entry of this drawing figure provided that Applicant disclaim, with a specification amendment, the specific substance of the drawings regarding the relative dimensions of the increasing bore hole diameters. It is also noted that the "NEW" drawing sheet filed June 9, 2009 is labeled as "Fig. 3C", while the corresponding specification amendments referring to this drawing figure, refer to the figure as "Fig. 3". Any subsequent amendment filed in this case should include a correction to the new drawing figure changing "Fig. 3C" to "Fig. 3" for consistency.